	Case 2:23-cr-00564-MWF Document 127-2 #:391					
1	Ambrosio E. Rodriguez, State Bar No. 200880 The Rodriguez Law Group					
2	626 Wilshire Blvd. Ste. 460					
3	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 995-6767					
4	Facsimile: (213) 995-6368					
5	Attorney for the Defendant					
6	Gilberto Marquez					
7	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT				
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT (					
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:23-cr-00564-MWF				
10						
11	Plaintiff, MOTION FOR AN EXPEDITED					
12	v.	HEARING				
13	GILBERTO MARQUEZ,	Before the Honorable Michael W.				
14	Defendant.	Fitzgerald, District Court Judge				
15		DATE:				
16	1					
17		TIME:				
18	TO THE HONORARI E HIDGE MICHAE	T W EITTOED AID HAUTED STATES				
19	TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD, UNITED STATES					
20	DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:					
21	COMES NOW Defendant, GILBERTO MARQUEZ (hereinafter "Defendant, Mr.					
22	Marquez"), through his attorney, Ambrosio E. Rodriguez, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3142 and					
23	3145(b), and respectfully requests that this Court set an expedited hearing to review the					
24	detention order entered by Magistrate Judge Rozella Oliver and set reasonable conditions of					
25	release pending trial. Mr. Marquez respectfully submits this Notice of Motion and Motion, the					
26	Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any and all evidence in support of this motion.					
27						
28						
I	1					

	Case 2:23-c	r-00564-MWF	Document 127-2 #:392	Filed 03/06/24	Page 2 of 9 P	age ID
1	DATED:	March 6, 2024				
2						
3				Dagmantfi-1	ly auhmittad	
4					ly submitted,	
5				/s/ Ambros	io E. Rodriguez	
6	:				E. Rodriguez,	
7				Attorney for	or Mr. Marquez	
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						-
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
			-2-			-

## Procedural History

Mr. Marquez stands charged by indictment with one count of 21 U.S.C. § 846; one count for violations of 21 U.S.C. 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C), 18 U.S.C. § 2(a); and related forfeiture allegations. On November 22, 2023, the Honorable Magistrate Judge, Rozella Oliver, granted the Government's request for detention and ordered that Mr. Marquez be permanently detained pending trial. The Court issued the Order of Detention based on the nature of the allegations: (1) that there was an offence with a maximum sentence with life imprisonment or death; (2) that the offence involves a narcotics; (3) that the defendant would flee, and that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community. (see ECF. #31)

However, the Magistrate Judge also ordered that Mr. Marquez's codefendant and brother, Mr. Tomas Marquez-Ruiz, be released with an appearance bond of \$100,000 and an affidavit of surety without justification signed by Mr. Marquez-Ruiz's wife, as well as other standard terms of release. Mr. Marquez was once again denied bail on February 26, 2024, by Magistrate Judge Oliver.

Mr. Marquez is nearly identically situated to the codefendant. Mr. Marquez, through counsel, now files this motion to ask the Court to set an expedited hearing, to allow for the presentation of new evidence, and to reconsider the Order of Detention issued on November 11, 2023, before this Court.

# Legal Argument and Supporting Facts

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b) provides for the prompt review by the District Court of a detention order issued by a Magistrate Judge. In reviewing such an order, the Court gives no deference to the Magistrate Judge's factual findings, but reviews them *de novo*. See *United States v. Rueben*, 974 F.2d 580, 585 (5th Cir. 1992). The Court must make an independent determination of the proper pretrial detention or conditions of release. *Id.* For the Government to show that the defendant should be detained without bond, it must prove by clear and

convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2).

Mr. Marquez respectfully submits that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b), he is entitled to an expedited review of the Magistrate Judge's detention order. He seeks release on bond so that he may seek employment and continue to make a living. Here, new evidence includes the retention of new counsel, a review of the previous record indicating that a similarly situated defendant was released with an affidavit of surety, and that it is in the defendant's best interest to be released to be able to aid in his own defense. Mr. Marquez has expressed to counsel his willingness to abide by any release conditions that the Court might impose that would allow for him to continue working and to aid in his defense.

Mr. Marquez would be seeking bond, with an ankle monitor that is restricted to home, work, church, court and attorney's office, and a \$100,000 unsecured bond signed by his mother, Ms. Emilia Ruiz Rodriguez. Ms. Ruiz Rodriguez's Date of Birth is April 5, 1962. Her address is 11550 Poema Place, Unit 102, Chatsworth, CA 91311. In a pretrial services report, it appears that Ms. Ruiz Rodriguez mistakenly reported incorrect income to post surety. In addition, Mr. Marquez's extended family is willing to post bond with an affidavit of surety. Ms. Ana Mata, is one of the extended family members who is willing to post bond. She can be reached at (571)422-8896 is a resident of the state of Maryland. Georgina Ramirez Vigil is also willing to post bond for Mr. Marquez. She lives in Sacramento, California, and can be reached at (916) 600-3798 she lives in Sacramento. For this reason, he respectfully requests an expedited hearing so that the Court may consider the evidence presented at a detention hearing as well as new evidence to be presented by Mr. Ambrosio E. Rodriguez.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFOR, premises considered, Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court set an expedited bond hearing and thereafter impose reasonable conditions of pretrial release and set bond accordingly for Defendant, Mr. Marquez.

1			Respectfully submitted,			
2			/s/ A	mbrosio E.	Rodrig	guez
3						
4			Ambrosio E. Rodriguez, Attorney for Mr. Marquez			
5				•		
6						
7						
8						
9						
10	=					
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Case 2:23-cr-00564-MWF Document 127-2 Filed 03/06/24 Page 5 of 9 Page ID #:395

**EXHIBIT A** 

CAC/PSA 3 (Rev. 11/17)

# PRETRIAL SERVICES REPORT

### CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

District Office		Charge(s), Title, Section, Description			
Los Angeles		21 USC § 846, 841: Conspiracy to Distribute			
Judicial Officer		Controlled Substances			
The Honorable Rozella A.	. Oliver				
Docket Number					
2:23-cr-00564-MWF-4					
Time Date					
10:00 a.m. February 26, 2024					
U.S. Probation & Pretrial Services	s Officer				
Di Nisha Sanchez-Jacksor	213-321-3847				

#### **DEFENDANT HISTORY**

Name		Employment/School		
Gilberto Marquez		El Ranchero Comida Mexicana Y Salvadoran (Owner and Operator)		
Address		Address		
See Report		15304 Part Street North Hills, CA 91343		
Time at Address	Time in Community	Monthly Gross Income	Time in Employ/School	
3 Years	8 Years	Up to \$11,000	2 Years	

FOR COURTROOM USE ONLY - RETURN TO PRETRIAL SERVICES AT CLOSE OF HEARING PROPERTY OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MUST NOT BE TAKEN FROM COURTROOM OR PHOTOCOPIED TITLE 18 U.S.C. 3153(c)(1); (2)

Page 2

Pretrial Services Report for The Honorable Rozella A. Oliver

Re: Gilberto Marquez

<u>Previous Proceedings</u>: On November 22, 2023, the defendant appeared before Your Honor for his initial appearance. On that date the defendant was ordered detained due to danger concerns.

Attached for the Court's consideration is the Pretrial Services Report dated November 22, 2023.

At this time, defense counsel has requested the matter be brought before Your Honor for the purpose of Review/Reconsideration of Order Setting Conditions of Release/Detention. According to defense counsel, a similarly situated defendant was released and relief may also be sought for the defendant by the posting of a \$100,000 Unjustified Appearance Bond signed by his mother, in addition to Location Monitoring.

<u>Additional Information</u>: Defense counsel initially referred Pretrial Services to speak with the defendant's wife, Brenda Martinez Portillo, regarding bond, however, due Ms. Portillo's immigration status, the Bail Review application was amended to contact the defendant's mother, Emilia Ruiz Rodriguez for bond.

Ms. Ruiz Rodriguez is willing to serve as a surety and sign an Appearance Bond, without justification. Ms. Ruiz Rodriguez advised she would be signing the same amount her daughter in law was initially prepared to sign of \$100,000 Unjustified. The role and responsibility of acting as surety was explained and accepted by Ms. Rodriguez. Ms. Ruiz Rodriguez is a legal permanent resident and has maintained employment cleaning homes for over 20 years. She earns a monthly income between \$1,200-\$1,300 Ms. Rodriguez also confirmed her daughter in law remains in the process of obtaining legal status and owns a restaurant with the defendant.

Ms. Rodriguez also informed Pretrial Services that the defendant's wife and children moved to the following address: 15534 Chase Street, #202, North Hills, CA. 91343. If released, defendant will reside at this address.

The Government continues to seek detention.

<u>Summary / Comments</u>: A new additional surety has come forward; however, Pretrial Services does not believe the defendant's mother's income can sufficiently support a \$100,000 Unjustified Appearance Bond. Further, Pretrial Services assessment of risk of nonappearance or danger is based on an individual basis, and the defendant's role in the alleged offense as previously outlined by the arresting agent remains greatly concerning to Pretrial Services. Additionally, Location Monitoring is a tool utilized to mitigate risk of flight and not danger as proposed by defense counsel.

Page 3

Pretrial Services Report for The Honorable Rozella A. Oliver

Re: Gilberto Marquez

Therefore, there continues to be no conditions or a combination of conditions to mitigate the risk of danger to the community and detention is respectfully recommended.

DSJ/jaj